## Direct Preparation of Trifluoromethyl Ketones from Carboxylic Esters: Trifluoromethylation with (Trifluoromethyl)trimethylsilane\*\*

Jürgen Wiedemann, Thomas Heiner, Gregorz Mloston, G. K. Surya Prakash,\* and George A. Olah\*

Trifluoromethyl ketones are potential inhibitors of hydrolytic enzymes because of their ability to form stable hydrates, thereby acting as transition-state analogues of substrates for such enzymes.<sup>[1]</sup> The trifluoroacetyl group is also a highly electron-withdrawing substituent. Methods for the synthesis of trifluoromethyl ketones are scarce.<sup>[2]</sup> While trifluoromethyl aryl ketones can be obtained by Friedel – Crafts acylations,<sup>[3]</sup> alicyclic and aliphatic trifluoromethyl ketones are usually available only by multistep synthetic operations.<sup>[4, 5]</sup> This restricts their use and makes it difficult to handle complicated intermediates for pharmacologically interesting targets.

Trifluoromethyltrimethylsilane (TMS-CF<sub>3</sub>, **2**) reacts with aldehydes and ketones under fluoride-induced trifluoromethyl transfer to yield trifluoromethyl alcohols.<sup>[6-8]</sup> The chemistry of TMS-CF<sub>3</sub> and related reagents was recently reviewed.<sup>[9]</sup> When α-keto esters are used as substrates in THF, TMS-CF<sub>3</sub> adds only to the keto group to give derivatives of Mosher's acid.<sup>[10]</sup> Simple unactivated esters are unreactive toward TMS-CF<sub>3</sub><sup>[11]</sup> in THF.

We now report on the first successful nucleophilic trifluoromethylation of esters with TMS-CF<sub>3</sub>. This provides a straightforward and powerful synthetic tool to convert the ester functionality into the trifluoromethylcarbonyl group in one

step without formation of double-addition products. A remarkable feature of this novel method is that it can be applied to non-enolizable as well as enolizable esters.

Reaction of methyl benzoate (1a) with TMS-CF<sub>3</sub> (1.25 equiv) in dry toluene smoothly gave 1,1,1-trifluoroace-tophenone (6a) in 95% yield. The initiator, anhydrous tetrabutylammonium fluoride (TBAF; 2.5 mol% in THF) was added at -78°C, and the reaction mixture was then allowed to warm slowly to room temperature, stirred for 18 h,

[\*] Prof. Dr. G. K. S. Prakash, Prof. Dr. G. A. Olah, Dr. J. Wiedemann,

Dr. T. Heiner, Prof. Dr. G. Mloston Donald P. and Katharine B. Loker Hydrocarbon Research Institute and

Department of Chemistry University of Southern California

University Or Southern Camorina
University Park, Los Angeles, CA 90089-1661 (USA)

Fax: (+1)213-740-5087

E-mail: prakash@methyl.usc.edu olah@methyl.usc.edu. and finally treated with 2 m hydrochloric acid. Addition of the initiator at 0°C gave rise to mixtures of the corresponding trifluoromethyl ketone **6a** and bis-trifluoromethylated alcohol as a result of double addition.

Table 1 shows the optimized reaction conditions for each case. The yields are good to excellent. In anhydrous pentane

Table 1. Conditions and yields for reactions of TMS-CF $_3$  (1.25 equiv) with various esters induced by 2.5 mol % TBAF in THF.

_	Reagent 1	Solvent	t [h]	Product 6	Yield [%]
a	PhCO₂Me	toluene	18	Ph CF <sub>3</sub>	95
b	$O_2N$ — $\bigcirc$ C $O_2Me$	CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub>	18	$O_2N$ $CF_3$	81
c	Ph OMe	pentane	24	Ph CF <sub>3</sub>	85
d	Ph─ <del>─</del> CO <sub>2</sub> Me	pentane	24	Ph CF <sub>3</sub>	0 <sup>[a]</sup>
e	CH <sub>3</sub> (CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>12</sub> CO <sub>2</sub> Me	pentane	24	CH <sub>3</sub> (CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>11</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> CF <sub>3</sub>	75
f	CO₂Me	pentane	48	CF <sub>3</sub>	72
g	<i>t</i> Bu-CO₂Me	pentane	72	O fBu CF₃	68
h	CO <sub>2</sub> Me	pentane	72	CF <sub>3</sub>	70

<sup>[</sup>a] Extensive polymerization.

as solvent, methyl myristate (1e) gave the corresponding trifluoromethyl ketone (6e) in 75% yield.  $\alpha,\beta$ -Unsaturated methyl cinnamate (1c) gave exclusively the 1,2-addition product 6c in 85% yield. Even sterically hindered esters, including methyl pivalate (1g) and methyladamantane 1carboxylate (1h), reacted smoothly. However, in the case of methyl 3-phenylpropinate (1d), the desired product 6d could not be isolated owing to extensive polymerization under the reaction conditions. In general, it is possible to use any nonpolar aprotic solvent, such as pentane, benzene, toluene, or dichloromethane. Although THF can also be used for some reactive esters such as 1a, it is not the solvent of choice; THF undergoes slow ring opening by TMS-CF3 under these conditions to yield detectable amounts of 5,5,5-trifluoro-1-trimethylsiloxypentane as a by-product. For the synthesis of low-boiling trifluoromethyl ketones, pentane is a good reaction medium, which nevertheless can be substituted by dichloromethane whenever solubility problems arise. The prerequisite for a successful reaction between esters and TMS-CF3 is careful drying of all solvents and reagents. Therefore, a commercial solution of TBAF (1m in THF) was dried for 4 h under a dry argon atmosphere over activated 4-Å molecular sieves (4 mL of solution per 1 g of molecular sieves) prior to use.

<sup>[\*\*]</sup> Synthetic Methods and Reactions, Part 201. This work was generously supported by the Loker Hydrocarbon Research Institute and the US Air Force Office of Scientific Research (MURI program, Dr. C. Lee). J.W. and T.H. were supported by Feodor – Lynen Fellowships from the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation. Part 200: ref. [8].

The mechanism for TMS- $CF_3$  addition is shown in Scheme 1. In the initiation step of the nucleophilic trifluor-omethylation, TBAF reacts with TMS- $CF_3$  to transfer a  $CF_3$  group to the ester. This gives rise to the deprotonated hemiacetal  $\bf 3$ , the true catalytic species. The latter in turn

Scheme 1. Proposed mechanism for the fluoride-induced nucleophilic trifluoromethylation of carboxylic esters with TMS-CF<sub>3</sub>.

activates a second molecule of TMS-CF $_3$  through formation of a negatively charged pentacoordinated silicon species **4**, which transfers a CF $_3$  group to another ester molecule, resulting in formation of **5** and simultaneous regeneration of the catalytic species **3**.

However, when the initiator is added at higher temperatures (at or above  $0\,^{\circ}$ C), 3 may decompose to give a methoxide ion, and the intermediate trifluoromethyl ketone, because of its high reactivity, may be subject to a second addition reaction.

In summary, we have developed a versatile method for the simple and efficient preparation of trifluoromethyl ketones from methyl esters.

Received: September 17, 1997 [Z 10939 IE] German version: *Angew. Chem.* **1998**, 110, 880 – 881

**Keywords:** fluorine  $\cdot$  ketones  $\cdot$  nucleophilic additions  $\cdot$  synthetic methods

## In Situ X-Ray Diffraction Study of the Initial Stages of Formation of MCM-41 in a Tubular Reactor\*\*

Mika Lindén, Stephan A. Schunk, and Ferdi Schüth\*

The successful preparation of MCM-41, a mesoporous silicate with hexagonally ordered cylindrical pores, has stimulated interest in this new class of composite materials since the pore sizes can be varied between 2 and 10 nm.[1, 2] Surfactants are used to induce the desired structure of the silicate polymer network. Usually, the synthesis of MCM-41 is carried out at surfactant concentrations at which the surfactant alone would not form a mesophase, which indicates a strong cooperative surfactant-silicate interaction. We describe here for the first time a continuous preparation of MCM-41 in a tubular reactor, which allows in situ X-ray diffraction (XRD) studies of the kinetics of mesophase formation on a mesoscopic length scale. The hexagonal mesophase is shown to form within the first three minutes of the reaction without passing through any intermediate phase. Different models have been developed to describe the formation of MCM-41. Beck et al. [2] proposed several possible pathways for the formation of such materials, and a more detailed, mechanistic model was presented by Monnier et al.<sup>[3]</sup> to account for the observation of an intermediate layered phase prior to reaching the hexagonal phase. This model introduced multidentate binding of silicate oligomers, preferred polymerization of silicate at the surfactant-silicate interface, and charge density matching as key factors in the formation of a surfactant-silicate mesophase. However, owing to the large number of different synthesis routes reported, each varying with respect to the silica source, surfactant concentration, pH, and the acid/base used etc., some of the reaction steps involved in the formation of the mesophase may differ depending on the applied experimental conditions. This is particularly important when organic precursors, such as tetraethoxysilane (TEOS), are used as the silica source, since their solubilities in aqueous solution are limited and therefore formation of an oil-in-water emulsion is expected as the initial reaction step. We describe here the results obtained by time-resolved in situ XRD measurements on the kinetics of formation of the hexagonal composite mesophase of such a system.

The reaction is too fast to allow data accumulation times long enough to obtain accurate kinetic data with a traditional setup for the investigation of liquids. To circumvent this problem, a tubular reactor was constructed and connected to a cell especially designed for XRD measurements of liquids; this allowed data accumulation times long enough to obtain a

<sup>[1]</sup> M. H. Gelb, J. P. Svaren, R. H. Abales, *Biochemistry* 1985, 24, 1813– 1817.

<sup>[2]</sup> J. P. Bague, D. Bonnet-Delphon, *Tetrahedron* 1991, 47, 3207 – 3258; Y. Yokoyama, K. Mochida, *Synlett* 1997, 907 – 910.

<sup>[3]</sup> T. Keumi, M. Shimada, M. Takahashi, H. Kitajima, Chem. Lett. 1990, 783-786

<sup>[4]</sup> W. F. Cockburn, R. A. B. Bannard, Can. J. Chem. 1957, 35, 1285 - 1292.

<sup>[5]</sup> M. Nassal, Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1983, 1510-1523.

<sup>[6]</sup> G. K. S. Prakash, R. Krishnamurti, G. A. Olah, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 393–395; R. Krishnamurti, A. D. R. Bellew, G. K. S. Prakash, J. Org. Chem. 1991, 56, 984–989.

<sup>[7]</sup> S. P. Kotun, J. D. O. Anderson, D. D. DesMarteau, J. Org. Chem. 1992, 57, 1124 – 1131.

<sup>[8]</sup> J. S. Brunck, A. Koch, G. Mloston, S. Lehnhoff, P. Margaretha, G. K. S. Prakash, G. Rasul, A. R. Bau, G. Olah, J. Org. Chem., submitted.

<sup>[9]</sup> G. K. S. Prakash, A. K. Yudin, Chem. Rev. 1997, 97, 757 – 786.

<sup>[10]</sup> P. Ramaiah, G. K. S. Prakash, Synlett 1991, 643-644.

<sup>[\*]</sup> Prof. Dr. F. Schüth, Dr. M. Lindén, [1-1] Dipl.-Chem. S. A. Schunk Institut für Anorganische Chemie der Universität Marie Curie Strasse 11, D-60439 Frankfurt am Main (Germany) Fax: (+49)69-798-29260 E-mail: ferdi@schueth.chemie.uni-frankfurt.de

<sup>[+]</sup> Permanent address: Department of Physical Chemistry, Åbo Akademi University, Porthansgatan 3-5, SF-20500 Åbo (Finland)

<sup>[\*\*]</sup> This work was supported by the EU project ERB-FMRX-CT96-0084.